

1 RALPH J. SWANSON, CA STATE BAR NO. 67751
2 H. ANN LIROFF, CA STATE BAR NO. 113180
3 SHANNON N. COGAN, CA STATE BAR NO. 214976
BERLINER COHEN
3 TEN ALMADEN BOULEVARD
ELEVENTH FLOOR
4 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113-2233
TELEPHONE: (408) 286-5800
5 FACSIMILE: (408) 998-5388
ralph.swanson@berliner.com
ann.liroff@berliner.com
shannon.cogan@berliner.com
7

8 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
WINDSOR AUCTIONS, INC. AND
JEWELRY AUCTIONS, INC.
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 WINDSOR AUCTIONS, INC., a Florida
corporation, and JEWELRY AUCTIONS,
INC., a Florida corporation,
14

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 EBAY INC., a Delaware corporation,
18 Defendant.
19

CASE NO. C07 06454 RMW
JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE STATEMENT
[Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9]

Date: April 11, 2008
Time: 10:30 AM
Courtroom 6
Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte
Case Filed: December 21, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

21 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9, the parties submit the following Initial Joint Case
22 Management Conference Statement:

23 1. Jurisdiction and Service:

24 The Court has jurisdiction over WINDSOR AUCTIONS, INC.'s and JEWELRY
25 AUCTIONS CORPORATION's ("Plaintiffs") claims and eBay, INC. 's ("Defendant's")
26 potential counterclaims based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Jurisdiction is established on
27 both Federal Question (Robinson-Patman) and/or Diversity grounds (Principal Places of
28

1 Business of both Plaintiffs outside the State of California). Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §
 2 1391 based upon contract and is undisputed.

3 2. Facts:

4 Plaintiffs' Complaint

5 On December 21, 2007, Plaintiffs filed the instant action against defendant for (1)
 6 Violations of The Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 13 et. seq., (2) Violations of The Unfair
 7 Practice Act, California B & P Code §17045, (3) Common Law Unfair Competition, and (4)
 8 Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing. The causes of action in
 9 Plaintiffs' complaint are based upon Plaintiffs' contention that eBay offered to its direct users an
 10 end time duration tool that was not available to companies that contracted with eBay's auction
 11 partners. In Plaintiffs' view, this tool had a direct and negative impact upon those companies
 12 that were not able to access the tool and gave an advantage to its live jewelry auction competitor,
 13 George Molayem, who was a direct customer of eBay Live and sued the end time duration tool
 14 to dominate eBay's core live jewelry auction listings for the maximum time. This dominance
 15 prevented the listings of other jewelry auctioneers, who were not direct customers of eBay Live,
 16 from having their product viewed prominently in the jewelry core listing categories in a timely
 17 fashion by prospective buyers.

18 eBay's Position

19 In response to Plaintiffs' complaint, eBay filed a motion to dismiss all of Plaintiffs' claims
 20 without leave to amend, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6). The hearing on that Motion is
 21 scheduled for April 11, 2008 3.Legal Issues:

22 3. Legal Issues:

23 The Statutes at issue substantively are: a) the Robinson-Patman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 13 et.
 24 seq. and its applicability to the facts at issue in the complaint, and b) The California B & Code §
 25 17045 and its applicability. The applicability of these statutes is currently pending in the
 26 defendant's Fed. R. Civ. P. § 12(b) (6) motion.

27 ///

28 ///

1 4. Motions:

2 As stated above, defendant's Motion to Dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) is
 3 pending. Plaintiffs have no current plans to file motions pursuant to this complaint, but reserve
 4 the right to properly notice any applicable motions, if and when they are necessary. At a later
 5 stage in these proceedings, eBay may exercise its right to file a motion for summary judgment
 6 and/or summary adjudication.

7 5. Amendment of Pleadings:

8 Dependent upon the outcome of the pending Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) Motion, Plaintiffs
 9 reserve their right to amend their existing pleadings, consistent with the Court ruling. Plaintiffs
 10 do not anticipate amendments or claims to be added to this action at this time; however, they
 11 reserve their right to do so at a later time, if and when such further claims become known to
 12 them.

13 6. Evidence Preservation:

14 Plaintiffs have maintained any and all records relevant to this case on hard drives and
 15 computers and have taken all steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues in the case,
 16 including interdiction of any document-destruction program and any ongoing erasures of e-mails,
 17 voice mails, and other electronically-recorded material. Defendants have done the same.

18 7. Disclosures:

19 As stated above, there is a pending Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) Motion to Dismiss. As a
 20 result, the parties have agreed to defer their respective disclosures, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26,
 21 until fourteen (14) calendar days after the e-filing of the final Order on the Motion to Dismiss.

22 The parties further agree pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, that they will disclose: a)
 23 categories of documents, rather than the actual documents, b) an initial list of witnesses, subject
 24 to the right to timely amendment of the list, if and when additional witnesses become known to
 25 any party; and c) Plaintiffs' initial general damage disclosure subject to augmentation and
 26 refinement as more and further damages related to the categories in the disclosure become
 27 known.

28 ///

1 8. Discovery:

2 The parties have initially agreed to the following discovery limitations, subject to
 3 augmentation, consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (b) (2): a) Ten (10) depositions per party
 4 initially subject to augmentation consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, b)
 5 Twenty-five interrogatories per party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33, c) unlimited Requests for
 6 Production of Documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, and d) unlimited Requests for
 7 Admission pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, subject to the limitations in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (b) (1).

8 9. Related Cases:

9 The parties are unaware at this time of any related cases or proceedings pending before
 10 another judge of this court, or before another court or administrative body.

11 10. Relief:

12 Given defendant's pending Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) Motion and the parties' agreement
 13 not to serve a Statement of Damages pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a) (1) (A) (iii) until an order
 14 on such motion has been issued, Plaintiffs can only generally set forth the nature and type of
 15 damages sought. Plaintiffs are seeking compensatory and incidental damages according to proof,
 16 treble damages pursuant to federal and state law, statutory attorneys' fees, costs of suit incurred
 17 and such other relief as the court should deem just. Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement and
 18 specify the relief sought pursuant to its Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (a) (1) (A) (iii) disclosure, and if
 19 discovery as propounded reveals additional categories or types of damages, for which Plaintiffs
 20 will amend the Rule 26 disclosure in a timely manner.

21 11. Settlement and ADR:

22 Plaintiffs contend that events that have occurred subsequent to the filing of this case may
 23 make it more difficult to settle, as eBay has given notice that it intends to terminate all user IDs
 24 that have any relationship to Windsor Auctions, Inc. or related entities on April 6, 2008.
 25 Nevertheless, the parties have agreed, in compliance with ADR L.R. 3-5, to an Early Settlement
 26 Conference before a Magistrate Judge to occur within 90 days of the issuance of the Court's
 27 ruling on eBay's currently pending motion to dismiss. Accordingly, the parties have separately
 28

1 filed a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference under Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-
2 5.

3 12. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes:

4 The parties do not consent to assignment of this case to a magistrate judge for all
5 purposes.

6 13. Other References:

7 This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the
8 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

9 14. Narrowing of Issues:

10 Given defendant's pending Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) Motion, it is premature to ascertain
11 at this time whether there are issues that can be narrowed by agreement or by motion,
12 suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g., through summaries or
13 stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims, or defenses.

14 15. Expedited Schedule:

15 This is not the type of case that can be handled on an expedited basis with streamlined
16 procedures.

17 16. Scheduling:

18 Given defendant's pending Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (b) (6) Motion, it is premature to suggest
19 proposed dates for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions,
20 pretrial conference and trial.

21 17. Trial:

22 Both parties have demanded and request a jury in this matter. Plaintiffs and defendant
23 anticipate that trial of this matter could be as long as 20 court days.

24 18. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons:

25 eBay has filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil
26 Local Rule 3-16. eBay has disclosed no persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including
27 parent corporations) or other entities known by the party to have either: (i) a financial interest in
the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest

1 that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding. Although Plaintiffs did
2 not initially disclose any interested entities or persons, inasmuch as eBay has now stated an
3 intention to terminate multiple user IDs, some of which are not involved in the instant litigation,
4 Plaintiffs reserve their rights to file a "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" under
5 Local Rule 3-16, when and if they can be adequately identified.

6

7 DATED: MARCH 26, 2008

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

8

BERLINER COHEN

9

10

BY: /S/ H. ANN LIROFF

11

RALPH J. SWANSON

12

H. ANN LIROFF

13

SHANNON N. COGAN

14

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

15

WINDSOR AUCTIONS, INC. AND

16

JEWELRY AUCTIONS, INC.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: MARCH 26, 2008

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP

12

13

14

BY: /S/ HEATHER C. MERSERVY

15

MICHAEL G. RHODES

16

WHITTY SOMVICHIAN

17

MELINA PATTERSON

18

HEATHER C. MERSERVY

19

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT EBAY, INC.